

Task Force 06

STRENGTHENING MULTILATERALISM AND GLOBAL GOVERNANCE

Building High-Ambition Multilateral Commitments to Future Generations in the Pact of the Future: A Cross-Country Comparative Exploration of Innovations in Intergenerational Policymaking in Support of Effective Global Long-Term Governance

Ana Celia Castro, Director, Colégio Brasileiro de Altos Estudos, Brazil

Andrew Jackson, Researcher, School of International Futures (SOIF), New Zealand

Cat Tully, Director, School of International Futures (SOIF), Portugal/UK

Claudette Salinas Leyva, Officer, Future of Climate Cooperation, Mexico

Darja Vrscaj, Researcher, School of International Futures (SOIF), Slovenia

Fabro Steibel, Executive Director, Instituto de Tecnologia & Sociedade do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Fátima Fernández, Policy Lead, School of International Futures (SOIF), Spain

Felipe Bosch, Executive Director, Intergenerational Center for Global Action (ICGA), Argentina

João Fernandes, Executive Director, Oikos - Cooperação e Desenvolvimento, Portugal

Rosa Alegria, Director, Teach the Future Brazil, Brazil

Sofía Martínez, Global Policy Director, Green Economy Coalition, Spain

Sophie Howe, Special Adviser, School of International Futures (SOIF) Wales/UK

Patrick Towell, Creative Economy Director, The Audience Agency, UK; Director, Creative Innovation for Good, Brasil

Valeria Colunga, Global Board Member, Girl Up Org. Mexico

Victoria Mulligan, Director, Speculative Futures Tamaki Makaurau Auckland, New Zealand

Yame Nkgowe, Executive Director, Africa Place & Equity Cities Foundation, Botswana





Abstract

Placing future generations at the forefront, the UN Summit of the Future process proposes long-term governance approaches based on intergenerational fairness, solidarity and awareness of historical legacies as essential to addressing local and global challenges. The following diagnosis and recommendations draw upon the insights from think tanks and civil society organizations associated with the Future Generations Pledge network, a global community advocating for an ambitious agenda for Future Generations.

We propose a holistic assessment framework to evaluate whether regions and nations are suitable for effective long-term public governance. We conclude with recommendations and initiatives by G20 countries to advance this agenda.

We argue that fairness between and within generations is crucial for building effective collective action to navigate future transitions and move beyond recurring cycles of "neglect and crisis". This aligns closely with Brazil 2024's "Let's Rethink the World" objective, focusing on equity for current and future generations. Brazil 2024's G20 presents a critical opportunity to connect this conversation with the UN SOTF and continue through COP 16 on Biodiversity in Colombia and the G20 in South Africa in 2025 while remaining cognizant of the BRICS movement.

Keywords: Long-term governance; future generations; assessment framework; citizen engagement; intergenerational fairness.



Diagnosis of the Issue

Amid overlapping crises, misinformation, and growing mistrust in multilateralism, the Summit of the Future (SOTF)¹ aims to renew the multilateral system and produce a Pact for the Future. This includes a Declaration for Future Generations to ensure today's decisions do not negatively impact future generations' rights and livelihoods. The Declaration should accelerate reform towards long-term multilateral governance.

Debates over the climate and biodiversity crises underscore the impact of current decisions on present and future generations. Recognizing that we are causing irreversible environmental damage, judicial decisions in countries including Peru, Brazil, the US, and Germany affirm that considering future generations is a matter of justice, not merely solidarity.

Emerging technologies like quantum computing and AI can significantly influence society, business and science, for good and ill. Yet, law and policymakers often lag in regulating them effectively due to lack of long-term vision. The COVID-19 pandemic revealed global unpreparedness and poor coordination, a scenario likely to recur under short-term pressures imposed by inevitable global shocks.

Globalization's impact on cultural and linguistic diversity and the loss of traditional knowledge and customs threaten our ability to 'be ourselves' and retain what makes us

¹ The SOTF was proposed by UN Secretary-General António Guterres in his 'Our Common Agenda', with recommendations for a new social contract anchored in human rights, better management of critical global commons, and global public goods that deliver equitably and sustainably for all. Unfortunately, there is no mention of a new social contract in the current working documents shaping the Pact for the Future.



uniquely human. This also risks losing accumulated knowledge that underpins social, economic, and environmental innovation.

These examples highlight the need for governance that acknowledges global interconnectedness, human-environment interdependence, and the necessity of thinking and planning across multiple time horizons, including those of future generations. The slow progress toward the 2030 Agenda reveals a fundamental disconnect between long-term goals and short-term governance models.

No future-facing governance model or approach suits every country or region; it varies by context and culture. Despite successful experiences in long-term public governance worldwide, information gaps remain. Assessing where countries stand and leveraging innovative practices and insights from officials, politicians, civil society, and youth is critical to ground future conversations in proven success.

As a multilateral space addressing global welfare issues beyond North-South divides and short-term national interests, the G20 is well-positioned to develop and spread transformative long-term public governance practices. With Africa, the world's youngest and fastest-growing population, now included, the G20 has the opportunity to lead this crucial agenda.

Goals And Genesis of the Maturity Framework for Long-Term Governance

Recognizing the profound interconnection between current decisions and their lasting impact on present and future generations, the international community needs robust, foresighted governance mechanisms founded upon intergenerational engagement. Key to this goal is the assessment, development, and dissemination of innovative practices in long-term public governance for equity and sustainability.



Drawing upon the Brundtland Commission report on Sustainable Development², this policy brief seeks to nurture enduring and intergenerationally equitable systems of public governance, wherein policies are crafted to:

- Enable individuals of all demographic profiles to fulfill their needs, regardless of socio-economic status.
- Meet present needs without compromising future generations' ability to meet their own.

Grounded in a decade of international dialogues led by the School of International Futures (SOIF), this framework articulates essential components of effective long-term and intergenerationally fair governance ecosystems³. It includes maturity indicators across various public governance areas and features a comparative assessment tool illustrating countries maturity levels, demonstrating the practical applicability of the framework. Presented as a snapshot of qualitative assessments by a diverse cohort of practitioners as of May 2024, it aims to stimulate discussion rather than offer exhaustive conclusions.

² 1987 Brundtland Commission Report "Our Common Future", Chapter 2, para 1. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-future.pdf

³ See also CEPA strategy guidance note on Strategic Planning and Foresight _

https://unpan.un.org/sites/default/files/Events/Events%202022/Strategy%20note%20%2

Ostrategic%20foresight%20Mar%202021_1.pdf



Assessing long-term public governance preparedness

Mature long-term public governance ensures that there is a systematic assessment of future risks and opportunities, ensuring intergenerational fairness and informed policymaking. Long-term and intergenerationally fair governance systems involve citizens in setting long-term goals, undertake systematic foresight⁴ to inform policymaking and implement measures that consider the welfare of future generations.

The maturity levels of essential elements for effective long-term public governance vary across time and countries. Some dimensions may be well-established in certain governmental areas but underdeveloped in others. This policy brief proposes a framework allowing regions and countries to assess their context for each element using a maturity scale (Appendix A). This assessment serves as a foundation for identifying innovations, areas for improvement, and relevant stakeholders. As a flexible and standardized framework, it facilitates the sharing of promising practices among peer countries.

An initial indicative assessment has been conducted on eleven countries and the European Union, based on discussions with country practitioners, providing an international maturity heatmap (Appendix A). Additionally, insights have been gathered from conversations with national and regional practitioners, civil society leaders, and academics involved in global communities of early adopters.

Evidence indicates that intergenerationally fair long-term governance systems commonly exhibit the following characteristics:

6

⁴ For the purposes of this note, foresight is defined as the "structured and systematic way of engaging with uncertainty about the future" (Maree Conway 2016).



i. Public service is prepared for the future

Governments have foresight capacity and capability, ensuring transparency regarding risks and opportunities. Three elements that need to be in place for mature foresight capability⁵.

- Leadership recognition: leadership acknowledges the value of foresight, allocates resources, focuses on pertinent issues, and accepts analysis outputs.
- **Skilled teams**: teams with diverse skills that blend creativity with systematic approaches, effectively communicating policy-relevant outputs.
- Evidence-based processes: systematic, evidence-based processes connected to academia, addressing policy questions.

ii. Citizens are involved in shaping their desired futures

While every society needs a social contract, its implicit and explicit arrangements, policies, institutions, and value systems differ across time and space. Increased efforts are needed to decolonize and decenter our knowledge and value systems, learning from communitarian visions and experiences when rethinking and designing our social and economic models⁶. Intergenerational and diverse voices shape the end goal of policies. This ensures that decisions impacting the long-term are informed by quality insights, local

⁵ See Features of effective systematic foresight in governments around the world,

developed by the School of International Futures (SOIF).

⁶ Much can be learned from Indigenous People's knowledge, way of life and

communitarian visions such as Ubuntu, Buen Vivir or Eco-Swaraj, where humans and nature are deeply connected, and social relations grounded in reciprocity and respect.



knowledge and evidence from people who are often best positioned to understand implications of emerging change.

To ensure that diverse views inform the renewal of social contracts that are fair and inclusive with all generations, policy should be:

- Accessible: designed to cater to diverse communities, needs, and cultures, incorporating the voices of Indigenous communities, as well as the poor and marginalized groups, including women and girls
- **Evidence-based**: involving of experts to address misconceptions and ensure early resolution of issues
- Inclusive: allowing for genuine engagement, facilitating diverse views, and exploration of trade-offs among those
- Supported by political leadership: political involvement in supporting and elevating at the national or regional level the vision of the communities they represent

iii. Leaders make intergenerationally fair decisions supported by future-aware government machinery

Governmental structures actively recognize and consider future implications. Certain institutions and processes, including the "machinery of government," incentivize and reward the protection of the interests and rights of future generations. A combination of five broad categories of intervention is needed:

- Goals: Establishing national targets based on the societal stock rather than output,
 aligning with long-term societal and environmental impacts
- Legal instruments: legal mechanisms like constitutional requirements for longterm targets and transparent outcome monitoring



- Institutional alignment: institutions aligned with long-term outcomes for enforcement, monitoring, education and information dissemination
- Adequate monitoring tools: aligning discount rates with long-term effects and employing risk analysis for significant system changes
- Capacity: investing in institutional capabilities and organizational resources to address long-term issues effectively

iv. Integration for sustainable outcomes

It is, however, at the intersection of the three dimensions where deep governance innovation occurs. The Welsh Wellbeing of Future Generations Act (2015), with its associated institutions and mechanisms, is a leading example of the transformative potential of a holistic approach. It is underpinned by the National Audit body and a Future Generations Commissioner, a duty upon public bodies, and legislation about the seven well-being goals identified in a National Dialogue "Wales We Want."

Although not exhaustive, the maturity framework for foresight capability (Appendix B) allows for in-country assessment and cross-country comparison of these dimensions together. The framework is based on the experiences of pilot countries and on the views of a global community of foresight practitioners and advocates.



Call For Action and Recommendations for G20 Countries

Based on the analysis above, this policy brief provides a framework to enhance G20 countries' preparedness for anticipatory and long-term governance amid overlapping crises and uncertainties. This framework will facilitate the sharing of current practices and the commitment to support long-term and intergenerationally fair governance ahead of the Summit of the Future.

In this section, we set out four key recommendations for G20 countries. Due to their cross-cutting nature, these recommendations and the associated actions would positively impact the work of all the G20 Task Forces. Each recommendation is accompanied by country-level examples in Appendix C.

Recommendations

1. Support a high-ambition Declaration for Future Generations. This will ensure:

- Multilateral and national implementation instruments for intergenerationally fair policies, including appointing a UN Special Envoy for Future Generations and holding an annual Forum to review progress
- Robust accountability and oversight measures at the General Assembly,
 ensuring the UN itself and States make necessary progress

2. Adopt an assessment framework to help identify innovations and monitor progress. This will ensure:

 A global framework providing a common language and platform to develop understanding among countries and regions.



- Regular country-level mapping to capture changes over time, to identify gaps
 and priorities, and ensure stability of long-term public governance
- Identification of policy, advocacy and transformation opportunities at both country and collective levels, helping to navigate change in a consistent manner and with clear principles and values
- 3. Convene intergenerational and multi-stakeholder dialogues and build institutional capabilities of governments, leading to new eco-social contracts. This will ensure:
 - Consideration of diverse visions about the future across countries and cultures,
 incorporating indigenous knowledges to build resilient and inclusive futures
 - Enhanced collective capacities to assess nature and wellbeing beyond GDP,
 focusing on other dimensions critical for the protection of dignity and the
 reproduction of life
 - Technical capabilities around systematic foresight, such as horizon scanning, long-term trend analysis, and scenario planning, to create anticipatory and fair policies
 - Support to the localization of Sustainable Development Goals and establishment of decentralization mechanisms to promote bottom-up policymaking
- 4. Convene a community of legislators and constitutional courts to explore how to support the interests of future generations. This would ensure:
 - A shift of mindset towards a rights-based approach to development and a strengthened role for countries in protecting the rights of future generations
 - A role of auditors and public financing in supporting this transformation



Scenario Of Outcomes

There are several scenarios for ownership and use of the heatmap process for longterm governance, including:

- i) **G20**: using the heatmap to lead advocacy and implementation of intergenerationally fair policies across the countries that host most of the world population. Adoption by the G20 would encourage wider use by other countries.
- ii) **United Nations**: This is valuable for supporting the implementation and assessing progress of the Declaration for Future Generations, including by the potential UN Special Envoy for Future Generations. The Envoy could use it for annual international assessments to identify areas needing further UN support.
- iii) **OECD**: Leveraging on its programs (including Policy Coherence for Sustainable Development, Observatory for Public Sector Innovation, and Government Foresight Community), resource capability-building, and support the diffusion of good practices internationally.
- iv) **Regional Bodies**: For example, the African Union, the ECLAC, the European Union, or the ASEAN could use it as a learning platform to debate long-term challenges and develop support programs for members in areas of common interest.
- v) **Open model**: Guiding any city, region, or country to assess and improve their long-term public governance for better intergenerational outcomes.

The G20 is crucial in preparing for and responding to future challenges. Along with other international governance bodies, the G20 applies critical pressure to ensure nations balance immediate needs with long-term challenges. This framework can facilitate open dialogue and knowledge sharing to prepare the world for the future.



References

Boston, Jonathan. Governing for the Future: Designing Democratic Institutions for a Better Tomorrow. 2016.

Foundations for Tomorrow and School of International Futures. A Statement of Commitment for the The Summit of the Future - Pledge. 2023.

Hale, Thomas, et al. Toward a Declaration on Future Generations. 2023.

OECD. OECD Guidelines for Citizen Participation Processes.

School of International Futures. Features of Effective Systematic Foresight in Governments around the World. 2021.

Tully, Cat. "Operationalizing Strategic Foresight to Better Support Governments in Managing Sustainable Development Goal Trade-Offs and Synergies in the Post-COVID Context." In UNDESA World Public Sector Report 2023, 2023.

Tully, Cat. UN Committee of Experts in Public Administration Strategy Guidance Note on Strategic Planning and Foresight. 2021.

United Nations Secretary-General. Our Common Agenda. 2020.

World Commission on Environment and Development. "Our Common Future." In Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: Our Common Future, Chapter 2, para 1. 1987.



Appendix A

Example of a heatmap of long-term governance maturity based on the three elements required for effective long-term public governance, based on an initial assessment of the maturity of 11 countries and the European Commission, including Australia, Brazil, UK, US, and South Africa. Numbers are included rather than the names as the research is still in progress, so this is an illustrative table.

	Maturity of application	of 4 elements needed for effective l	ong term public governance	
	Maturity of intergenerational engagement of citizens in national policy	Maturity of foresight capability	Maturity of intergenerational machinery of government	Maturity of integration citizen engagement, systematic use of foresight and machinery of government to recognise intergenerational issues in national policies
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				

Below are the assessment criteria to build the heatmap:



Assessment	Explanation
Not known	No information is available at the time of writing.
No evident adoption	This rating indicates that regions or nations have not established formal mechanisms.
Some trials started	Regions or nations are trialing their approach to long-term governance.
Established in some areas	Elements of the foresight ecosystem are established in one or more of their government departments but not in all departments.
Widespread adoption	Some nations will have widespread adoptions when many or most government departments have established a foresight ecosystem.
Leading practice	Aspects of the foresight ecosystem are established and deliver real and significant impact.



Appendix B

There are three frameworks, one for each foresight capability, machinery of government, and citizen engagement. Maturity is needed in all three areas for good intergenerational outcomes. The user assesses their current situation for each of the characteristics - this provides clarity of any changes needed to improve the maturity of their foresight capabilities further.

ngagemei stra conomic: xtent of ei rroad gene nvolvemei Syst star Topi imp to ir dep. Rea info and eve staf star Fore skill Fore	Token consult evidence that considered ctures for No-use of inte	views are engagement structures s 1 No toing term strategies	engager tion of Establis such as youth such as you	hed structures youth councils sight: level (3 25+ year strate some areas of Some departm	government: Le	4 national strategy clal economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity 3	4
tablished structurgagement, such is uncils tergeneri volvemel ross culta stra gagemet conomic tent of el funcionad gene star star star star star star star star	chures for the structuires for the syouth Characteristic attional tong term trategy in place there is dedicated anding stream to the structuires for the evidence systematic foresight that's from the evidence spics are most apportant not restricted in individual epartments.	segenerational led Ad-hoc crea rengagement structures s	engager engager Establis such as youth such as you have a such as you	hed structures yeuth councils report Level (c 3 25+ year strate some areas of Machinery of	Co creating, symbio of maturity ples in 25+ year on key so environments Establish government: le de supportto Small gre	4 national strategy clal economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity 3	
ngagement, such in properties of the properties	considered Nouse of inte structures for Characteristic ational tong term trategy in place here is dedicated dinding ystematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most nortant not restricted individual epartments	regenerational led Ad-hoc creater engagement structures is structures in the structure in the structur	such as youth such as you are to	hed structures youth councils sight: level (3 25+ year strate some areas of Machinery of Machinery of	of maturity peles in 25+ year on key so environments Establish government: [e	4 national strategy cial economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity 3	4
ngagement, such in properties of the properties	Characteristic Characteristic attional long term trategy in place here is dedicated inding systematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most popics are most individual epartments	engagement structures s naturalis. 1 No long term S strategies to Characteristic Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long terminipications for all	such as youth such as youth systematic fore: 2 trategies look out 5 10 years d hoc funding as 1 y, Focus is solelyon respont to immediate issues	youth councils thank loom sight: Level of 3 25+ year strate some areas of Some departm Machinery of	of maturity peles in 25+ year on key so environments Establish government: [e	4 national strategy cial economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity 3	4
ngagement, such i puncits in the research in t	chasyouth structures for Characteristic ational long term trategy in place there is dedicated and ing stream to the control of	engagement structures s naturalis. 1 No long term S strategies to Characteristic Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long terminipications for all	such as youth such as youth systematic fore: 2 trategies look out 5 10 years d hoc funding as 1 y, Focus is solelyon respont to immediate issues	youth councils thank loom sight: Level of 3 25+ year strate some areas of Some departm Machinery of	of maturity peles in 25+ year on key so environments Establish government: [e	4 national strategy cial economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity 3	4
ouncils stergener workemei ross culta ngagemei conomic road gens wolvemei Systa Topi imp to ir dep. Rea info and evel Staf acre skitti Fore	Characteristic ational long term trategy in place here is dedicated inding stematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most individual epartments	None dedicated to Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider ions germiniplications for all	by stematic fore: 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	sight: level of 3 25+ year strate some areas of Some departm Machinery of Machiner	government: Le	national strategy cial economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity	4
tergeneri volvemei ross culta stra stra stra stra stra stra stra st	ational long term trategy in place here is dedicated inding stematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most popication to trestricted individual epartments	No long term Strategies to Characteristic Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long terminipications for all	systematic fore: 2 tratégies look out 5 10 years d hoc fûnding as 1 y, Fegus is solelyen respontoimmediate issues	sight: level (3 25+ year strate some areas of Some departm Machinery of	government: Le	national strategy cial economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity	4
ross cutta Nati ross cutta Straingagemei conomic Item function of the fu	ational long term trategy in place here is dedicated inding stematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most popication to trestricted individual epartments	1 No long term S strategies to None dedicated to A Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long terminipications for all	2 tratégies lóok out 5 10 years d hoc funding as 1 y Feçus is solelyen responto immediate issues	3 25+ year strate some areas of Some departm Machinery of	government: Le	national strategy cial economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity	
ngagemen stranger str	ational long term trategy in place here is dedicated inding stematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most popication to trestricted individual epartments	No long term S strategies to A None dedicated to A Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider iong terminopications for all	trategies look out 5 10 years d hoc funding as 1 y, Focus is solelyon responte to immediate issues	25+ year strate some areas of Some departm Machinery of Inding Leaders proving Individual foreign and Individual foreign.	policy on key so environm ents Establish government: le de supporto Small graph	national strategy cial economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity	
ngagemei conomic tent of er trunc road gene volvemei Syst star Top imp to ir dep. Rea info and ever Star Star	trategy in place there is dedicated unding stematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most nortant not restricted individual epartments	Strategies to None dedicated to Av Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider iong terminopications for all	d hoc funding as 1 Focus is soletyon respondented to immediate issues	Some areas of Some departm Machinery of	policy on key so environm ents Establish government: le de supporto Small graph	cial economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity	4
ngagemei stra conomic	trategy in place there is dedicated unding stematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most nortant not restricted individual epartments	Strategies to None dedicated to Av Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider iong terminopications for all	d hoc funding as 1 Focus is soletyon respondented to immediate issues	Some areas of Some departm Machinery of	policy on key so environm ents Establish government: le de supporto Small graph	cial economic and ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity	4
road gend star star star star star star star star	nere is dedicated inding ystematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most point of the control of	None dedicated to A Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider iong terminipications for all	d hoc funding as	Machinery of	environments Establishe government: Le de supportto Small gre	ental issues ed ongoing funding vel of maturity	4
road genet star star star star star star star sta	nding ystematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most nportant not restricted individual epartments	Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long term implications for all	focus is solely on resport to immediate issues	Machinery of	government: le	ed ongoing funding vel of maturity	4
road gene swolvemei Syst star star Top imp to ir dep no star acre skil	nding ystematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most nportant not restricted individual epartments	Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long term implications for all	focus is solely on resport to immediate issues	Machinery of	government: le	vel of maturity	4
road gene survolvemer star star star star star star star sta	nding ystematic foresight tarts from the evidence opics are most nportant not restricted individual epartments	Characteristic Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long term implications for all	focus is solely on resport to immediate issues	Machinery of	government: le	vel of maturity	4
road gene Syst star star star star star star star st	ystematic foresight larts from the evidence opics are most inportant not restricted individual epartments	Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long term implications for all	to immediate issues	nding Leaders provi	2 de supportto Small gro	3	4
volvemel Syst star Top imp to ir dep. Rea info and even Star acre skit Fore	arts from the evidence opics are most opportant not restricted individual epartments	Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long term implications for all	to immediate issues	nding Leaders provi	2 de supportto Small gro	3	4
Top imp to ir dep Rea info and ever staf acre skit	arts from the evidence opics are most opportant not restricted individual epartments	Long termthinking is valued by leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long term implications for all	to immediate issues	nding Leaders provi	de supportto Small gro		4
Top imp to ir dep Rea info and ever staf acre skit	opics are most nportant not restricted individual epartments	leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long termimplications for all	to immediate issues	nding Leaders provi	de supportto Small gro		
imp to ir dep Rea info and ever staf acro skit	nportant not restricted individual epartments	leaders Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long termimplications for all	to immediate issues	individual fore		vin of loadore Establis	
imp to ir dep Rea info and ever staf acro skit	nportant not restricted individual epartments	Legal requirement or social expectation to consider long term implications for all		-	esignt champio		shedcohortand
imp to ir dep. Rea info and ever Staff acre skit	nportant not restricted individual epartments	expectation to consider long termimplications for all	No requirement	. Ad hoc consid		- 1	inityofseniorleaders
to ir dep Rea info and ever Staf acre skil	individual . epartments	term implications for all					cyinclude an
Rea Info and ever Staf acro skil Fore sup	epartments		1		some are		ment of the long term ations in the regulatory
Rea info and evel Staf acro skil Fore sup	•						statement "
info and ever Staf acro skit Fore sup	eadily usable						
and ever Staf acro skil Fore sup		Cross party long term	No cross party activty on	" Informal cross	s party Cross na	intyfutures Experier	nced cross party
and ever Staf acro skil Fore sup	formation on trends	oversight "	intergenerationalissues				upported by experts
Staf acro skil Fore sup	nd high impäct low risk			* issues			ting nationally agreed
acro skil Fore sup	vents .					- long ten	rm strategy =
skil Fore sup	taff/team development						
Fore	cross the range of	Independent oversight	No independent oversigh	ht =			ndent organisaitons
sup	kills needed	ensuring accountability on intergenerational outcomes				, that is w	well funded with strong
sup		intergenerationatoutcomes				voice	
	oresight networks are	Risk analysis replaces cost	Onlyuse BCA to assess p				xpertise in BCA and
į.	pported	benefit analysis when	preposals	analysis .			alysis ensures that the
		appropriate in policy analysis			_ departme		proach is used for needing system level
1400	cademic / think tank					change	
	oresight ecosystem	Intergenerational approach	Discountrates notused	Standard mark	katestume Marketer	etums adjusted for Adjuste	
		underpins discount rates	Discountiales notused	used as basis			nentand social value
	erving the public sector			rates		oftime	
	espoke not cookies	National progress assessed	Traditional GDP for the na	ation GDP and com	mitmentto National	progress Nationa	al adoption and
	utter approach to	according to stock not flows		" some internat			ring on wellbeing and
fore	resight projects			 standards 	- standard	ls framework - SDG	
		Accounting standards require					e organisations
		assessment of long term risks	· 	incorporate fo			d to use foresight tools
		using systematic foresight	1	theirrisk asse reporting	foresight		ss keylong term risks
		Institutions designed:	MI-1		_		
		institutions designed to deal with shocks	Minimum resource to de today's issues, no practi				reventpractices, shed approaches and
			drills or established	structures for			ance to manage
			approaches to repriorits	e management	. No	resourc	es between
			resources	additionalres	ources for		es. Investment in
			1	crisis			assets to respond to
			1			events	I
			1				I
			_		_		





Let's rethink the world





